Docket No. 49658-0025

APR 0 5 2001 SIN THE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MARTIN LIVESEY

Serial No.: 09/183,621

Filed: October 30, 1998

For: APERIODIC TILING OF TEXTURED IMAGES

Group Art Unit: 2672

Examiner: Chante' Harrison

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

BOX AF Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the interview conducted on March 28, 2001. As discussed during the interview, Applicant is submitting the following remarks and respectfully requests reconsideration of the above application.

During that interview, it was pointed out how *Jensen* is concerned with **patterns on the surface of tiles**, while the present invention is concerned with **patterns of tiles on a surface**. More specifically, *Jensen* is concerned with finding out how far to rotate a tile in order for the pattern on the surface of the rotated tile to align with the pattern on the surface of an adjacent tile. On the other hand, the present invention is concerned with placing textured tiles over a surface, where the pattern in which the tiles are placed reduces a viewer's ability to detect any pattern in the texture covering the surface.

04/05/2001 CNGUYEN 00000036 09183621

01 FC:115

110.00 DP

EAR

It was also pointed out during the interview that even if one were to generate a series of rotated tiles, where each tile was rotated slightly more than its predecessor, it is not clear how such a series of tiles could be used to cover a surface (how rotated tiles could be placed adjacent to each other), unless, for example, the tiles themselves were not rotated, but only the pattern on the surface of the tiles was rotated. For example, Fig. 9 of *Jensen* shows two adjacent square tiles that have not been rotated, where the pattern on the surface of the square tiles has been rotated. A surface can easily be covered by square tiles, such as those shown in Fig. 9. However, the pattern of the tiles on such a surface would be a symmetrical, checkerboard pattern (a far cry from the "aperiodic" pattern required by the present claims).

The Applicant also thanks the Examiner for confirming receipt of the previously submitted Petition for Color Drawings and for helping to ensure that the petition is considered.

The application currently stands finally rejected based on the Final Office Action mailed December 20, 2000 and the Advisory Action mailed March 15, 2001. The shortened statutory period based on the Final Office Action ran until March 20, 2001, and the statutory period runs until June 20, 2001.

The applicant herewith petitions the Commissioner of Patents under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 to extend the time for reply to the Final Office Action dated December 20, 2000 for one (1) month from March 20, 2001 to April 20, 2001. Submitted herewith is a check for \$110 to cover the cost of the one (1) month extension per 37 C.F.R. §1.17(a)(1).

PTO/SB/17 (12/99)

(408) 414-1080

April 2, 2001

Telephone

Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE er the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL for FY 2001

Patent fees are subject to annual revision, Small Entity payments <u>must</u> be supported by a small entity statement, otherwise large entity fees must be paid. See Forms PTO/SB/09-12. See 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.27 AND 1.28

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

APR 0 5 2001

(\$) 110.00

Complete if Known								
Application Number	09/183,621							
Filing Date	October 30, 1998							
First Named Inventor	Martin Livesey	<u>/</u> C						
Examiner Name	Chante' Harrison	~						
Group/Art Unit	2672 Chhology C	01						
Attorney Docket No.	2672 49658-0025 Perhapsion Technology Center 2	So.						

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)		FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
1. X Throughout the pendency of this application, please charge		3. ADDITIONAL FEES					
any additional fees, including any required extension of time		Entity	Small		Fee Description	Fee Paid	
fees, and credit all overpayments to deposit account 50-	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)			
1302. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.		14)	-	(•/			
Deposit Account Number 50-1302		130	205	65	Surcharge – late filing fee or oath		
	127	50	227	25	Surcharge – late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.		
Deposit Account Name Hickman Palermo Truong & Becker, LLP		130	139	130	Non-English specification		
	147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination		
2. X Payment Enclosed:		920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		
Check Money Other	113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action		
3. Applicant(s) is entitled to small entity status.	115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	110.00	
See 37 CFR 1.27.							
FEE CALCULATION		390	216	195	Extension for reply within second month		
1. BASIC FILING FEE	117	890	217	445	Extension for reply within third month		
Large Entity Small Entity	118	1,390	218	695	Extension for reply within fourth month		
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	128	1,890	228	945	Extension for reply within fifth month		
101 710 201 355 Utility filing fee	119	300	219	150	Notice of Appeal		
106 320 206 160 Design filing fee	120	300	220	150	Filing a brief in support of an appeal		
107 490 207 245 Plant filing fee	121	260	221	130	Request for oral hearing		
108 710 208 355 Reissue filing fee	138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding		
114 150 214 75 Provisional filing fee	140	110	240	55	Petition to revive – unavoidable		
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0.00	141	1,210	241	605	Petition to revive – unintentional		
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES	142	1,210	242	605	Utility issue fee (or reissue)		
Highest Extra Fee from Paid Claims Claims Below Fee Paid	143	430	243	215	Design issue fee	1 1	
Total Claims 16 -20= 0 x 18.00 = 0.00	144	580	244	290	Plant issue fee		
Independent 4 - 4**= 0 X 80.00 = 0.00	122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner		
Multiple Dependent	123	50	123	50	Petitions related to provisional applications		
**or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see below	126	240	126	240	Submission of information Disclosure Stmt		
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)		
103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20	146	690	246	345	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))		
102 80 202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3		690	249	345	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))		
104 270 204 135 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid				-	of Co. Boundary	0.00	
109 80 209 40 **Reissue independent claims over original patent		fee (spe	cify) _	Reque	st for Reconsideration	3.00	
440 40 240 o **Reissue claims in excess of 20		fee (spe	cify) _				
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0.00 *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 110.00							

Signature WARNING:

SUBMITTED BY

Name (Print/Type)

Craig G. Holmes

Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

44,770